#### **Prostate Cancer Radiation Therapy**



#### Tyler M. Seibert, MD, PhD

Assistant Professor **Radiation Medicine | Radiology | Bioengineering** Center for Multimodal Imaging and Genetics Center for Precision Radiation Medicine **University of California San Diego** 



#### **ProtecT: Landmark clinical trial**

- Largest randomized trial comparing surgery and radiation
  - 1,643 patients randomized to one of 3 options:
    - Surgery / Radical prostatectomy
    - Radiation therapy with 3-6 months of hormone therapy (ADT)
    - Active monitoring watch PSA, treat if concerning changes
  - Most patients had low risk or favorable-intermediate risk prostate cancer
- Patients enrolled from 1999 to 2009
  - Allows long-term results to be analyzed
  - Treatments have improved since then



#### Is Active Surveillance Safe?



- Yes, active surveillance is very safe for patients with low/favorable risk
- But must do <u>active</u> surveillance

Hamdy et al. NEJM 2016, NEJM 2023

## Which is better: prostatectomy or radiation therapy?



- Both highly effective. No difference in cancer outcomes for low/favorable-risk
- If surgery for higher risk cancer, may need radiation after (worse side effects)
- If radiation for higher risk cancer, may need longer duration of hormone therapy

Hamdy et al. NEJM 2016, NEJM 2023

## Side effects from surgery vs. radiation

Urinary incontinence: need to wear a pad because you leak urine



• More likely to need a pad for urine leakage after surgery

# Side effects from surgery vs. radiation

#### Nocturia: waking up at night (≥2 times) to urinate



- Nocturia common during radiation therapy
- In the long term, nocturia is less common after surgery than after radiation

# Side effects from surgery vs. radiation Loose stools



- Loose stools somewhat common during radiation
- Some men may continue to have loose stools for a year or more after radiation

## Side effects from surgery vs. radiation

#### Fecal incontinence: **fecal leakage** ≥1 time per week



- Fecal incontinence uncommon overall but more common after radiation
- Modern radiation substantially reduces dose to rectum (IGRT, IMRT, +/-spacer)

## Side effects from surgery vs. radiation

#### Erections firm enough for intercourse



- Few men have firm erections during treatment and recovery
- Sexual function better preserved after radiation than after surgery

#### Advanced radiation therapy for prostate cancer

- IMRT = intensity modulated radiation therapy
  - Shape the radiation dose to the target
- IGRT = image guided radiation therapy
  - Scan patient every day before treatment to ensure accurate targeting
- Focal radiation boost
  - Increase dose to the tumor visible on MRI

## Focal radiation boost for prostate cancer

Journal of Clinical Oncology<sup>®</sup>

#### **Focal Boost to the Intraprostatic Tumor in External Beam Radiotherapy for Patients With Localized Prostate Cancer: Results From the FLAME Randomized Phase III Trial**

Linda G. W. Kerkmeijer, MD, PhD<sup>1,2</sup>; Veerle H. Groen, MD<sup>1</sup>; Floris J. Pos, MD, PhD<sup>3</sup>; Karin Haustermans, MD, PhD<sup>4</sup>; Evelyn M. Monninkhof, PhD<sup>5</sup>; Robert Jan Smeenk, MD, PhD<sup>2</sup>; Martina Kunze-Busch, PhD<sup>2</sup>; Johannes C. J. de Boer, PhD<sup>1</sup>; Jochem van der Voort van Zijp, MD, PhD<sup>1</sup>: Marco van Vulpen, MD, PhD<sup>6</sup>: Cédric Draulans, MD, PhD<sup>4</sup>: Laura van den Bergh, MD, PhD<sup>7</sup>: Sofie Isebaert, PhD<sup>4</sup>: and Uulke A, van der Heide, PhD<sup>3</sup>

#### • Randomized trial:

- Treat whole prostate the same or 'boost' dose to the visible tumor
- (Patients had intermediate- or high-risk cancer)

#### Focal radiation boost for prostate cancer



Table 2 – Cox per-protocol regression analysis for local failure andregional + distant metastatic failure

	Adjusted HR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	p value
Local failure	0.33 (0.14-0.80)	0.01
Regional + distant metastatic failure	0.56 (0.34–0.91)	0.02
<ul> <li>CI = confidence interval; HR = hazard ratio.</li> <li><sup>a</sup> Adjusted for center, age (in years), hormonal treatment duration (in months), timing of hormonal treatment (neoadjuvant vs adjuvant), T stage, initial prostate-specific antigen (in ng/ml), and Gleason score.</li> </ul>		

- Focal boost group had:
  - 67% less cancer recurrence in the prostate
  - 44% less cancer metastasis
  - No increase in toxicity (only boosted as could be safely achieved)

Kerkmeijer et al. JCO 2020

#### Fewer metastases / recurrences without increased toxicity

- Every patient should get this, right?
- We conducted a survey of radiation oncologists in 2022-2023
  - Two years after FLAME trial results published
  - Over 250 radiation oncologists participated
  - Conclusion: overwhelming majority of patients not getting focal boost
- Why not?
  - Lack of access to high-quality MRI
  - Cannot see tumor target
  - Difficult to align MRI and CT scans for treatment planning

#### Focal radiation boost for prostate cancer



- Advanced MRI for prostate cancer: Restriction Spectrum Imaging (RSI)
  - Improve accuracy of prostate MRI for diagnosis and treatment
  - Multiple completed and active studies led by Dr. Seibert at UC San Diego

#### A major focus of Dr. Seibert's research team:

More accurate radiation therapy for patients with prostate cancer everywhere



#### Focal RT boost is better for patients ... what's the catch?

- You can't aim at what you can't see
  - Radiation oncologists need to learn to **reliably** identify the boost target
- Advanced MRI can help
  - RSIrs: Restriction Spectrum Imaging restriction score



#### **RSI: Advanced MRI for cancer detection**



## Can advanced MRI (RSIrs) improve tumor targeting?

- We ran a study. 44 radiation oncologists participated
  - They were given prostate MRI images
  - They were told where the tumor was (in words)
  - They attempted to circle the tumor for focal RT boost
- Sometimes, they were only given conventional MRI
- And sometimes, they were also given RSIrs maps



Can advanced MRI (RSIrs) improve tumor targeting?

- Results <u>without</u> RSIrs:
  - 18% of all attempts were complete misses (0% overlap with tumor)
  - 91% of doctors completely missed at least one tumor
  - On average (median), each doctor completely missed 3 tumors
    - (Average number of targets/attempts per participant = 18)
- But with RSIrs:
  - Complete misses dropped from 18% to 2%, overall
  - Doctors completely missing a tumor dropped from 91% to 30%
  - Complete misses per doctor went from 3 tumors to zero tumors

#### Example 1:

- Expert radiologists outlined the tumor in red
- Radiation oncologists' attempts to outline the tumor are shown in shades of blue



#### Example 2:

- Expert radiologists outlined the tumor in red
- Radiation oncologists' attempts to outline the tumor are shown in shades of blue



#### Improved alignment of MRI and CT scans

- Tumor only visible on MRI, but CT scan used for radiation planning
  - Solution 1: Atlas-based multi-modal registration
  - Solution 2: MRI-only planning (create synthetic CT scan from MRI scan)





#### Other ongoing projects in the Seibert Lab



## Predict need for biopsy with quantitative MRI (RSIrs)

- RSIrs makes radiologists' predictions more accurate
  - Clinical trial designed and led by Dr. Seibert to start in 2023
  - Participating centers: Harvard, Cambridge, Cornell, UCSF, UC San Diego



## Use genetics to predict lifetime prostate cancer risk

- We developed a genetic score (PHS290)
  - Associated with risk of metastatic and fatal prostate cancer
  - Combine genetics and race or ancestry to predict overall risk



## Measure treatment response with advanced MRI (RSIrs)

- Measure tumor response to radiation and hormone therapy (ADT)
  - Clinical trial designed and led by Dr. Seibert is ongoing



UC San Diego Seibert Lab

Patient A





Numerous brilliant collaborators

**Generous** <u>funders</u> and donors

Study participants!



National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering



National Cancer Institute



Prostate Cancer Foundation Curing Together.





U.S. Department of Defense



U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs







UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA RESEARCH INITIATIVES Cancer Research Coordinating Committee

